by Jian OuYang<sup>a</sup>)<sup>b</sup>), Wen-Na Zhou<sup>a</sup>)<sup>b</sup>), Gang Li<sup>a</sup>)<sup>c</sup>), Xiao-Yan Wang<sup>a</sup>), Chen-Xu Ding<sup>a</sup>), You-Rui Suo<sup>a</sup>), and Hong-Lun Wang<sup>\*a</sup>)

 <sup>a</sup>) Key Laboratory of Tibetan Medicine Research, Northwest Institute of Plateau Biology, China Academy of Sciences, Xining 810001, P. R. China
 (phone: +86-971-6143857, fax: +86-971-6143282, e-mail: hlwang@nwipb.cas.cn)
 <sup>b</sup>) University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China
 (chinese of Life Sciences Ventri University Ventri 264005, P. B. China

<sup>c</sup>) College of Life Sciences, Yantai University, Yantai 264005, P. R. China

Two novel organic amide alkaloids, 4-[(*E*)-*p*-coumaroylamino]butan-1-ol (1) and 4-[(*Z*)-*p*-coumaroylamino]butan-1-ol (2), together with a rare pyridoindole alkaloid, hippophamide (3), were isolated from the seed residue of *Hippophae rhamnoides* LINN. subsp. *sinensis* ROUSI. Their structures were determined by spectroscopic means. The results show that compounds 1 and 2 are (*E*/*Z*)-isomers, compound 3, a pyridoindole alkaloid concerted with  $\gamma$ -lactam ring.

Introduction. - Hippophae rhamnoides LINN. subsp. sinensis ROUSI belongs to the family of Elaeagnaceae, which occurs mainly in Asia and Europe [1-3]. The fruits with beautiful orange color and pleasantly acid flavor are edible. It has high resistibility, adaptability, and drought tolerance. These characteristics determine that it is very suitable for the land reclamation and farmstead protection [4]. This plant is reported to be widely used in the traditional Chinese medicine, Mongolian, and Tibetan pharmacopoeia. It is considered to have significant medicinal value for the treatment of skin disorders resulting from bed confinement, and shows antimicrobial, antioxidant, cardiovascular protective, and antitumor activities [5-10]. Previous phytochemical investigations on the genus Hippophae were mainly focused on the isolation and identification of various flavonoids and triterpenoids, while there are only few reports about the systematic study of the alkaloid chemical composition of the seed residue of *Hippophae rhamnoides* LINN. subsp. *sinensis* ROUSI [6] [10–17]. Our work was focused on the isolation and structural elucidation of three new alkaliods: 4-[(E)-pcoumaroylamino]butan-1-ol (1), 4-[(Z)-p-coumaroylamino]butan-1-ol (2), and hippophamide (3), a pyridoindole alkaloid concerted with  $\gamma$ -lactam ring.

**Results and Discussion.** – The AcOEt- and BuOH-soluble fractions of the seed residue of *Hippophae rhamnoides* LINN. subsp. *sinensis* ROUSI were purified by repeated column chromatography to afford compounds **1**, **2**, and **3**. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of the physical and spectroscopic data, including those by 1D- and 2D-NMR techniques.

Compound 1, which is named as 4-[(E)-p-coumaroylamino]butan-1-ol (=(2E)-N-(4-hydroxybutyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)prop-2-enamide), was obtained as red-brown

<sup>© 2015</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

powder and was analyzed to have the molecular formula  $C_{13}H_{17}NO_3$  through HR-ESI-MS (positive-ion mode; m/z 236.1275 ( $[M + H]^+$ ,  $C_{13}H_{18}NO_3^+$ ; calc. 236.1281)). The NMR data (*Table 1*), combined with HMBC analysis, revealed 13 C-atom signals, including four CH<sub>2</sub>, two CH, and three quaternary C-atoms. The planar structure of **1** (*Fig. 1*) was revealed through the HMBC spectrum. HMBCs (*Fig. 2*) of H–C(1) with C(2) and C(3), H–C(2) with C(3), H–C(3) with C(2), H–C(4) with C(2), C(3), and C(9'), 4-NH with C(9'), H–C(2') with C(3'), C(4'), C(5'), C(6'), and C(7'), H–C(6') with C(2'), C(4'), C(5'), C(6'), and C(7'), H–C(3') with C(1'), C(4'), and C(5'), H–C(5') with C(1'), C(3'), and C(4'), H–C(7') with C(8'), C(1'), C(9'), C(2'), and C(6'), H–C(8') with C(1') and C(9') were observed. Thus, compound **1** was unambiguously characterized as 4-[(*E*)-*p*-coumaroylamino]butan-1-ol.

Compound **2**, which is named as 4-[(*Z*)-*p*-coumaroylamino]butan-1-ol (=(2*Z*)-*N*-(4-hydroxybutyl)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)prop-2-enamide), was obtained as yellow powder and was analyzed to have the molecular formula  $C_{13}H_{17}NO_3$  through HR-ESI-MS (positive-ion mode; *m*/*z* 258.1104 ([*M*+Na]<sup>+</sup>,  $C_{13}H_{17}NNaO_3^+$ ; calc. 258.1101)). The NMR data (*Table 1*), combined with the HMBC spectrum, revealed 13 C-atom signals, including four CH<sub>2</sub> groups, two CH groups, and three quaternary C-atoms. The formula of **2** (*Fig. 1*) was revealed through the HMBC spectrum. HMBCs (*Fig. 2*) of H–C(1)

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Data (600 MHz; (D<sub>6</sub>)DMSO) of Compounds 1 and 2.  $\delta$  in ppm, J in Hz.

Position	1		2	
	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$	$\delta(H)$	$\delta(C)$
1	3.39(t, J = 6.0)	60.5(t)	3.38 (td, J = 6.0, 4.5)	60.4(t)
2	1.39 - 1.49 (m)	25.9 ( <i>t</i> )	1.40 - 1.46 (m)	25.6 (t)
3	1.39 - 1.49 (m)	30.0(t)	1.37 - 1.43 (m)	30.0(t)
4	3.14 (td, J = 7.0, 5.0)	38.5(t)	3.08 (td, J = 7.2, 5.3)	38.4(t)
1′		126.0(s)		126.3(s)
2',6'	7.37 (d, J = 8.4)	129.1(d)	7.59 (d, J = 8.6)	131.8(d)
3',5'	6.77 (d, J = 8.4)	115.7(d)	6.68 (d, J = 8.6)	114.7(d)
4'		158.8(s)		157.8 (s)
7′	7.29 (d, J = 15.8)	138.5(d)	6.46 (d, J = 12.9)	136.1(d)
8′	6.39(d, J = 15.8)	118.8(d)	5.75 (d, J = 12.9)	121.0(d)
9′		165.3(s)		166.1(s)
1-OH	4.40 (br. s)	. ,	4.37 (t, J = 4.5)	
NH	7.95(t, J = 5.0)		7.99(t, J = 5.3)	
4'-OH	9.84 (br. s)		9.68 (br. s)	



Fig. 1. Structures of compounds 1 and 2



Fig. 2. Key HMBCs  $(H \rightarrow C)$  of compounds 1 and 2

with C(2) and C(3), H–C(2) with C(3), H–C(3) with C(2), H–C(4) with C(2), C(3), and C(9'), 4-NH with C(9'), H–C(2') with C(4'), C(6'), and C(7'), H–C(6') with C(2'), C(4'), and C(7'), H–C(3') with C(1'), C(4'), and C(5'), H–C(5') with C(1'), C(3'), and C(4'), H–C(7') with C(9'), C(2'), and C(6'), H–C(8') with C(1') and C(9') were observed. Thus, compound **2** was unambiguously characterized as 4-[(Z)-p-coumaroylamino]butan-1-ol.

Compound 3, which was given the trivial name hippophamide (=(11bS))-1,2,5,6,11,11b-hexahydro-8-hydroxy-3*H*-indolizino[8,7-*b*]indol-3-one), with  $[\alpha]_{D}^{23,9} =$ -5.396 (c = 0.210, MeOH) and UV (MeOH): 204.50 (0.6731), 219.00 (0.6252), 277.00 (0.2131), 297.00 (0.1430), was obtained as yellow powder and analyzed to have the molecular formula  $C_{14}H_{14}N_2O_2$  through HR-ESI-MS (positive-ion mode; m/z265.0950 ( $[M + Na]^+$ ,  $C_{14}H_{14}N_2NaO_2^+$ ; calc. 265.0947)). The NMR data (*Table 2*), combined with <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY, HMQC, and HMBC spectrum analyses, revealed one lactam C=O group, eight aromatic C-atoms, one phenolic OH group, one CH, and four CH<sub>2</sub> groups. The formula of **3** was revealed through the <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>1</sup>H-COSY, HMQC, and HMBC spectrum (Fig. 3). <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY correlations (Fig. 4) of C(3) to C(6), C(3) to C(14), C(3) to C(15), C(5) to C(6), C(11) to C(12), C(14) to C(15) were observed, as well as HMBCs (*Fig.* 4) of H–C(3) with C(2), H–C(5) ( $\delta$ (H) 4.39–4.41 (*m*)) with C(7), H–C(5) ( $\delta(H)$  3.04–3.06 (m)) with C(16), H–C(6) with C(5), C(7), and C(2), H–C(9) with C(7), C(11), C(13), and C(10), H–C(11) with C(9) and C(13), H–C(12) with C(8) and C(10), H–C(14) (δ(H) 2.60–2.61 (m)) with C(15) and C(16), H–C(14) (δ(H) 1.87-1.90 (m)) with C(15), C(2), C(3) and C(16), H-C(15) (δ(H) 2.61-2.64



Fig. 3. Structure of compound 3



Fig. 4. Key <sup>1</sup>H,<sup>1</sup>H-COSY correlations (-) and HMBCs (H  $\rightarrow$  C) of compound 3

Position	3	
	$\delta(\mathrm{H})$	$\delta(C)$
2		135.6 (s)
3	4.96 (t-like, $J = 6.9$ )	56.4(d)
5	3.04 - 3.06(m), 4.39 - 4.41(m)	38.9 ( <i>t</i> )
6	2.66 - 2.78 (m)	22.1(t)
7		107.0(s)
8		128.8(s)
9	6.78 (br. s)	103.4(d)
10		151.5(s)
11	6.64 (br. $d, J = 8.6$ )	112.3(d)
12	7.12 (d, J = 8.6)	112.5(d)
13		133.0(s)
14	1.87 - 1.90 (m), 2.60 - 2.61 (m)	26.8(t)
15	2.41 - 2.43 (m), 2.61 - 2.64 (m)	32.6(t)
16		176.0 (s)

Table 2. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Data (600 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) of Compound 3. δ in ppm, J in Hz.

(*m*)) with C(14) and C(16), H–C(15) ( $\delta$ (H) 2.41–2.43 (*m*)) with C(14), C(3) and C(16). Thus, compound **3** was unambiguously characterized as hippophamide.

## **Experimental Part**

1. General. Reagents and solvents used were of anal. grade and purchased from *Tianjin Baishi* Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, P. R. China). Column chromatography (CC): D101 and AB-8 macroporous resins (Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory, Qingdao, P. R. China), Sephadex LH-20 (20–100 µm; GE Healthcare, Stockholm, Sweden). MCI Gel CHP20P (75–150 mm; Mitsubishi Kasei Chemical Industries, Tokyo, Japan). HPLC (anal. and prep.): Agilent 1260 Infinity system, including a G1311A QuatPump, a G1329B autosampler with 20 µl injection loop, a G1316A Thermostatted column compartment, and a G1315B diode array detector, Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 column (150 × 4.6 mm i.d. 5 µm; Agilent Technologies Co., Ltd., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Hanbon-NP7010 system, including two NP7000C series liquid phase pumps, a UV2000D detector, and a Rheodyne 7725 sampler with 20 ml injection loop. Hanbon Dubhe C18 column (250 × 20 mm i.d.10 µm, Jiangsu Hanbon Science & Technology CO., Ltd., Huaian, P. R. China). UV/VIS: UV-2401PC (Shimadzu, Japan);  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ) in nm. Optical rotation: P-1020 (JASCO, Japan). NMR: Varian INOVA 600 NMR spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA);  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard, J in Hz. HR-ESI-MS: Thermo Velos Pro with Orbitrap elite detector (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., MA, USA); in m/z.

2. *Plant Material. Hippophae rhamnoides* LINN. subsp. *sinensis* ROUSI seeds were collected from Datong Country, Qinghai, P. R. China, in August 2013, and dried under shade at r.t.

3. Extraction and Isolation. The dried and powdered seed residue (13 kg, firstly extracted by supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>) of Hippophae rhamnoides LINN. subsp. sinensis ROUSI were extracted with 70% aq. EtOH to obtian the EtOH extracts (2262.5 g). Then, the EtOH extracts were dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O and partitioned with AcOEt and BuOH, resp. to give the AcOEt-, BuOH-, and H<sub>2</sub>O-soluble fractions (54.6 g, 462.3 g, 1482.5 g, resp.). The AcOEt-soluble fraction (50 g) was fractioned with *AB-8* macroporous resin column chromatography (CC) and eluted with a EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O gradient (0:100  $\rightarrow$  10:90  $\rightarrow$  30:70  $\rightarrow$  50:50  $\rightarrow$  70:30) to give four fractions (*Fr. E1* (4.763 g), *Fr. E2* (11.759 g), *Fr. E3* (8.753 g), and *Fr. E4* (2.668 g)). *Fr. E2* (2.0 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 gel CC and eluted with MeOH to yield nine fractions *Fr. E2-1* (503 mg), *Fr. E2-2* (226 mg), *Fr. E2-3* (68 mg), *Fr. E2-4* (108 mg), *Fr. E2-5* (219 mg), *Fr. E2-6* (142 mg), *Fr. E2-7* (128 mg), *Fr. E2-8* (237 mg), and *Fr. E2-9* (183 mg)). *Fr. E2-2* contained pure

compound **1** (226 mg). Fr. E1 (1.5 g) was separated by Sephadex LH-20 gel CC and eluted by MeOH/ H<sub>2</sub>O (0:100 $\rightarrow$ 30:70 $\rightarrow$ 50:50 $\rightarrow$ 70:30 $\rightarrow$ 100:0) to yield six fractions (Fr. E1-1 (127 mg), Fr. E1-2 (173 mg), Fr. E1-3 (241 mg), Fr. E1-4 (386 mg), Fr. E1-5 (239 mg), Fr. E1-6 (205 mg)). Fr. E1-2 (173 mg) was further purified by prep. HPLC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 10:90) to give compound **2** (13 mg). The BuOH-soluble fraction (200 g) was subjected to CC (D101 macroporous resin; EtOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 0:100 $\rightarrow$ 10:90 $\rightarrow$  30:70 $\rightarrow$ 50:50 $\rightarrow$ 70:30) to give seven fractions (Fr. NI (10.01 g), Fr. N2 (10.481 g), Fr. N3 (20.457 g), Fr. N4 (15.375 g), Fr. N5 (3.513 g), Fr. N6 (2.481 g), and Fr. N7 (1.923 g)). Fr. N1 (4.0 g) was subjected to CC (MCI gel) and eluted by H<sub>2</sub>O to yield ten fractions (Fr. N1-1 (926 mg), Fr. N1-2 (674 mg), Fr. N1-3 (284 mg), Fr. N1-4 (214 mg), Fr. N1-5 (370 mg), Fr. N1-6 (426 mg), Fr. N1-7 (302 mg), Fr. N1-8 (237 mg), Fr. N1-9 (109 mg), and Fr. N1-10 (178 mg)). Fr. N1-9 (109 mg) was further purified by prep. HPLC (MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 10:90 $\rightarrow$ 30:70) to obtain compound **3** (8 mg).

This work was supported by the *International Cooperation Project of Qinghai Province* (2013-H-802), the *National Science Foundation of China* (31470426, 31300292), *International S&T Cooperation Program of China* (2014DFA31020) and *Youth Innovation Promotion Association*, *CAS*.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Rousi, Ann. Bot. Fenn. 1971, 8, 177.
- [2] T. Michel, E. Destandau, C. Elfakir, Food Chem. 2011, 126, 1380.
- [3] R. Arimboor, C. Arumughan, J. Food Sci. 2011, 76, T130.
- [4] T. Beveridge, T. S. C. Li, B. D. Oomah, A. Smith, J. Agric. Food Chem. 1999, 47, 3480.
- [5] T. Li, L. Wang, 'Physiological Components and Health Effects of Ginseng, Echinacea and Sea Buckthorn', in 'Functional Foods, Biochemical and Processing Aspects', Vol. 1, Ed. G. Mazza, CRC Press 1998, p. 329.
- [6] C. Chen, W. Gao, D.-W. Ou-Yang, J. Zhang, D.-Y. Kong, Nat. Prod. Res. 2014, 28, 24.
- [7] F. M. Liu, Z. X. Li, S. Shi, Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 1988, 9, 539.
- [8] A. Gupta, R. Kumar, K. Pal, V. Singh, P. K. Banerjee, R. C. Sawhney, Mol. Cell. Biochem. 2006, 290, 193.
- [9] H. Ito, S. Asmussen, D. L. Traber, R. A. Cox, H. K. Hawkins, R. Connelly, L. D. Traber, T. W. Walker, E. Malgerud, H. Sakurai, P. Enkhbaatar, *Burns* 2014, 40, 511.
- [10] E. C. Pukhal'Skaia, M. F. Petrova, G. P. Men'Shikov, Biulleten' Eksperimental'noi Biologii i Meditsiny 1960, 50, 105.
- [11] W. Gao, J. Zhang, C. Chen, D. Kong, Chin. Trad. Herb. Drugs 2013, 44, 6.
- [12] J. Zhang, X. Weng, W. Gao, Z. Zhu, D. Kong, Chin. J. Pharm. 2011, 42, 265.
- [13] C. Chu, Z. Hao, G. U. Hen, Y. I. N. Hongxiang, C. Yang, West China J. Pharm. Sci. 2007, 22, 367.
  [14] Z.-G. Yang, H.-R. Li, L.-Y. Wang, Y.-H. Li, S.-G. Lu, X.-F. Wen, J. Wang, A. Daikonya, S. Kitanaka, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2007, 55, 15.
- [15] J. Zhang, W. Gao, M.-S. Cao, D.-Y. Kong, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2012, 14, 1122.
- [16] C. Chen, W. Gao, L. Cheng, Y. Shao, D.-Y. Kong, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2014, 16, 231.
- [17] W. Gao, C. Chen, D.-Y. Kong, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2013, 15, 507.

Received March 13, 2015